

Article 371C and the Governance of Hill Areas in Manipur: Constitutional Safeguards, Tribal Autonomy and Contemporary Challenges

Dr. Aswathy Satheesh

Associate professor and Research Supervisor

(PG & Political Science Department,

NSS Hindu College Changanassery)

Abstract

Article 371C of the Constitution of India represents an important constitutional mechanism designed to address the distinctive administrative, social and political circumstances of the Hill Areas of Manipur. Introduced through the Constitution (Twenty-Seventh Amendment) Act, 1971, the provision established a framework for institutional attention to the interests of the hill regions through a committee of the Manipur Legislative Assembly consisting of elected members from the Hill Areas. It also assigns a special reporting responsibility to the Governor of Manipur concerning the administration of these areas and extends the executive power of the Union to issuing directions to the State regarding their administration. The constitutional arrangement reflects India's broader approach of accommodating regional diversity within a federal democratic framework.

This article examines the historical background, constitutional provisions, institutional significance and contemporary relevance of Article 371C. Particular attention is given to the Hill Areas Committee, the relationship between constitutional protection and tribal interests, and the debates surrounding land, political representation, development and identity in Manipur. The article also considers contemporary tensions associated with demands for Scheduled Tribe status by the Meitei community and the concerns expressed by existing tribal communities. It argues that Article 371C should be understood not merely as an administrative provision but as an instrument of constitutional accommodation intended to balance national integration with regional diversity and the protection of vulnerable communities. Effective implementation, institutional accountability, participatory governance and sustained dialogue among the different communities are essential for realizing the objectives underlying the provision.

Keywords: Article 371C, Manipur, Hill Areas Committee, tribal autonomy, constitutional safeguards, federalism, ethnic diversity, regional governance



1. Introduction

The Constitution of India combines a common national constitutional framework with differentiated arrangements for regions possessing distinctive historical, cultural, geographical and political characteristics. This approach is particularly significant in the North-Eastern region, where ethnic diversity, customary institutions, distinctive land relations and demands for political autonomy have influenced the development of constitutional governance. Article 371C constitutes one such special constitutional provision applicable specifically to Manipur.

Article 371C was introduced through the Constitution (Twenty-Seventh Amendment) Act, 1971, during a period of major political and administrative reorganisation in North-East India. The provision was intended to create an institutional mechanism for addressing the particular administrative circumstances of the Hill Areas of Manipur. The constitutional text authorises the President to provide, by order, for the constitution and functions of a committee of the State Legislative Assembly consisting of members elected from the Hill Areas. It further provides for modifications in the rules of business of the State Government and the rules of procedure of the Legislative Assembly, together with special responsibility for the Governor to ensure the proper functioning of the committee.

The importance of Article 371C lies in its recognition that uniform administrative arrangements may not always adequately address the needs of geographically and culturally distinctive regions. The provision therefore represents an attempt to reconcile two constitutional objectives: maintaining the integrity of the Indian Union while providing institutional safeguards for regional interests.

The supplied study material similarly identifies Article 371C as a special constitutional provision intended to recognise the distinctive circumstances of Manipur and to protect the interests of its people. However, a close examination of the constitutional text indicates that Article 371C specifically establishes an institutional framework for the administration of the Hill Areas rather than creating a general requirement for Presidential approval of all legislation concerning land, religion or customary law. Those broader issues need to be considered alongside other constitutional and statutory arrangements applicable to Manipur.

2. Historical Background of Article 371C



The historical development of Manipur is essential for understanding the rationale behind its special constitutional provisions. Manipur possesses a distinctive political and cultural history, with a social structure characterised by the coexistence of the Meitei population in the valley and several tribal communities inhabiting the surrounding hill areas.

The political integration and subsequent constitutional reorganisation of the North-East created new questions regarding representation, administration and protection of community interests. The North-Eastern Areas (Reorganisation) Act, 1971 formed part of the wider constitutional and administrative restructuring of the region and provided for the establishment of the States of Manipur and Tripura, among other changes.

Within this context, Article 371C was incorporated into the Constitution. The provision was not intended to create a separate constitutional government for the Hill Areas. Instead, it sought to provide a mechanism through which representatives from these areas could participate in considering matters affecting them.

The constitutional arrangement was subsequently operationalised through the Manipur Legislative Assembly (Hill Areas Committee) Order, 1972. The Order provides an institutional framework for the Hill Areas Committee and specifies its functions. Among other responsibilities, the Committee is associated with consideration of legislation and executive action affecting the Hill Areas and with matters relating to development planning. The official Manipur government document states that the Committee functions as an important legislative-level institution for overseeing planning, implementation and monitoring of development activities in the Hill Areas.

Thus, Article 371C should be understood within a broader constitutional and institutional framework rather than in isolation. Its significance derives from the interaction between the Constitution, the Hill Areas Committee Order and other laws and administrative institutions relating to the hill regions.

3. Constitutional Provisions of Article 371C

Article 371C is titled “Special provision with respect to the State of Manipur.” Its constitutional design contains two principal components.

First, the President may issue an order providing for the constitution and functions of a committee of the Manipur Legislative Assembly consisting of members elected from the Hill Areas. The President may also provide for modifications in the rules of business of the Government of Manipur and in the rules of procedure of the Legislative Assembly. The provision further allows for special responsibility of the Governor in order to ensure the proper functioning of the committee.

Second, the Governor is required to make an annual report to the President, or such report whenever the President requires it, concerning the administration of the Hill Areas. The executive power of the Union extends to giving directions to the State concerning the administration of those areas. The Constitution defines “Hill Areas” for the purpose of Article 371C as areas declared by the President through an order.

The constitutional provision therefore creates a relationship among three institutions: the Hill Areas Committee, the Governor of Manipur and the Union Government. This arrangement is significant because it provides a constitutional channel through which the administration and developmental concerns of the Hill Areas can receive special institutional attention.

The Hill Areas Committee Order, 1972 provides further details concerning the functioning of the Committee. According to the official order, the Committee has a role in considering legislation and executive action affecting the Hill Areas in specified matters. It may also discuss the Annual Financial Statement insofar as it relates to the Hill Areas and consider development plans before their finalisation.

This institutional arrangement illustrates the principle of differentiated governance. Instead of completely separating the Hill Areas from the State’s political structure, the Constitution provides a mechanism for ensuring that their particular interests receive focused consideration within the existing democratic framework.

4. The Hill Areas Committee and Institutional Representation

The Hill Areas Committee is central to understanding the practical significance of Article 371C. Its importance lies in creating an institutional forum through which elected representatives from the Hill Areas can participate in deliberations concerning their region.

The Committee has developmental as well as legislative significance. Official documentation indicates that it has responsibilities connected with planning, implementation and monitoring of development activities in the Hill Areas. The Committee also considers proposals relating to development plans and receives information concerning implementation.

Such an institution is particularly relevant in a geographically diverse state such as Manipur. The hill regions face developmental challenges associated with difficult terrain, connectivity, infrastructure deficits and access to public services. A governance mechanism that allows representatives from these areas to raise region-specific concerns can contribute to more responsive public administration.

The Committee also reflects the constitutional principle of political inclusion. Communities living in geographically remote areas may face difficulties in ensuring that their concerns receive adequate attention in general political institutions. A specialised committee can therefore function as a mechanism for improving representation without undermining the overall authority of the State Legislature.

At the same time, the effectiveness of any constitutional institution depends on its actual functioning. Constitutional recognition alone does not guarantee effective autonomy or development. Institutional capacity, financial resources, political cooperation and administrative accountability are equally important. The Manipur government's policy documents have recognised the importance of the Hill Areas Committee in planning and monitoring development activities in the hill regions.

5. Article 371C and Tribal Interests

The protection of tribal interests is an important dimension of the governance of Manipur's Hill Areas. The state is characterised by considerable ethnic and cultural diversity, including numerous recognised tribal communities. The constitutional framework therefore operates in an environment in which questions of identity, land, political representation and development are closely interconnected.

The material supplied for this article highlights concerns regarding the protection of tribal interests and the importance of Article 371C in relation to the Hill Areas. It also refers to the

concerns of tribal organisations regarding demands for Scheduled Tribe status by the Meitei community.

The debate over Scheduled Tribe status demonstrates the complexity of constitutional protection in a socially diverse state. The Meitei community has sought Scheduled Tribe recognition on the grounds that such recognition would provide additional constitutional safeguards for its identity, culture and land-related interests. Existing tribal communities, however, have expressed concerns that extending Scheduled Tribe status to the Meiteis could affect their access to affirmative action and existing protective mechanisms.

The issue illustrates an important constitutional dilemma. Protective provisions are generally intended to address historical disadvantage and preserve the interests of vulnerable communities. However, when multiple communities perceive themselves as vulnerable or threatened, competing claims for constitutional protection can emerge.

Consequently, Article 371C should not be viewed as providing a simple solution to all ethnic or political disputes in Manipur. Its purpose is more specific: to provide an institutional mechanism for the administration and representation of the Hill Areas. Questions concerning Scheduled Tribe recognition involve additional constitutional and statutory procedures.

6. Land, Identity and Regional Autonomy

Land is closely connected with identity, livelihood and political power in Manipur. The distinction between the valley and hill regions has historically influenced patterns of settlement, administration and political representation. Consequently, questions concerning land administration are particularly sensitive.

The supplied material associates concerns over land, customary practices and cultural institutions with the broader objective of protecting the distinctive social fabric of Manipur. While these issues are important to understanding the wider context of tribal protection, they should not be attributed exclusively to the text of Article 371C.

The constitutional significance of Article 371C instead lies in its institutional mechanism for the administration of the Hill Areas. Other laws, constitutional provisions and administrative arrangements may provide additional protection concerning land and customary practices. For



example, official documentation concerning Manipur's Hill Areas notes restrictions on transfer of tribal land to non-tribals and describes the role of local institutions in land-related decisions.

This distinction is important for academic analysis. A constitutional provision must be interpreted according to its actual text and legal operation. At the same time, its broader significance can be assessed by examining the institutional and political environment in which it operates.

7. Contemporary Challenges

Despite the constitutional safeguards associated with Article 371C, several challenges remain.

7.1 Implementation Gap

The existence of constitutional provisions does not automatically ensure effective implementation. The supplied material identifies effective implementation and greater awareness as continuing challenges. It also recommends periodic review of the functioning of the relevant committee.

The effectiveness of Article 371C therefore depends upon meaningful institutional functioning, adequate consultation and respect for the role of the Hill Areas Committee.

7.2 Developmental Imbalances

Developmental disparities between different geographical regions can create perceptions of exclusion. The Hill Areas Committee's role in development planning is consequently important. The official Hill Areas Committee Order provides for consideration of development plans and monitoring of implementation.

Greater transparency in resource allocation, participatory planning and systematic monitoring can strengthen the developmental dimension of Article 371C.

7.3 Ethnic and Political Tensions

Manipur's ethnic diversity has periodically generated political tensions. Contemporary debates involving Meitei, Naga and Kuki communities demonstrate how questions of identity, land, political representation and constitutional safeguards can intersect.

The demand for Scheduled Tribe status by the Meitei community and opposition from sections of existing tribal communities illustrate the difficulty of balancing competing claims. The supplied material records these divergent positions and the concerns relating to political representation, employment opportunities and protection of tribal interests.

Such conflicts cannot be resolved through constitutional provisions alone. They require sustained political dialogue, institutional consultation and confidence-building among communities.

7.4 Awareness and Institutional Accountability

Another challenge is public understanding of constitutional safeguards. The effectiveness of Article 371C requires awareness among elected representatives, administrators, civil society organisations and citizens. The supplied material specifically identifies greater awareness and understanding as necessary for effective utilisation of the provision.

Regular evaluation of the functioning of the Hill Areas Committee can further strengthen accountability and ensure that the institution continues to respond to changing developmental and political circumstances.

8. Article 371C and Indian Federalism

Article 371C illustrates the flexibility of Indian federalism. Indian federalism is not based exclusively on identical arrangements for all states and regions. Instead, the Constitution contains differentiated provisions recognising particular historical and social circumstances.

Special provisions such as Article 371C demonstrate that constitutional accommodation can contribute to national integration. By recognising regional differences within the constitutional framework, the Union can address local concerns without necessarily creating separate political structures outside the Indian constitutional system.

The experience of Manipur is therefore relevant to broader debates concerning asymmetrical federalism. Asymmetrical arrangements allow different regions to possess different institutional mechanisms according to their particular circumstances. Such arrangements can strengthen rather than weaken national unity when they are based on democratic participation, constitutional legitimacy and equitable governance.



Article 371C also demonstrates the importance of cooperative federalism. Although the provision creates a special role for the Union and the Governor, its operation remains connected to the State Legislature and State Government. The Hill Areas Committee provides an institutional bridge between regional interests and the larger State political system.

9. Policy Suggestions

Several measures can strengthen the effective functioning of Article 371C.

First, the functioning of the Hill Areas Committee should be strengthened through regular meetings, transparent procedures and systematic publication of recommendations and implementation reports.

Second, development planning for the Hill Areas should be participatory. Local communities, traditional institutions, elected representatives and civil society organisations should have opportunities to contribute to planning and evaluation.

Third, greater transparency should be introduced into resource allocation for the Hill Areas. Development expenditure should be monitored through measurable indicators relating to infrastructure, education, healthcare, connectivity, employment and livelihood development.

Fourth, constitutional literacy programmes should be encouraged. Citizens and community organisations should have accessible information about Article 371C, the Hill Areas Committee and other legal mechanisms relevant to their rights and responsibilities.

Fifth, inter-community dialogue should be institutionalised. Given the overlapping concerns of different communities, sustained dialogue can help reduce mistrust and create mechanisms for resolving disputes peacefully.

Finally, constitutional and statutory provisions should be interpreted carefully. Article 371C should be understood in conjunction with other laws and institutions rather than being treated as an isolated mechanism for resolving every issue relating to tribal identity, land or customary practices.

10. Conclusion

Article 371C occupies an important position within India's system of differentiated constitutional governance. Introduced against the historical and administrative background of Manipur and the North-Eastern region, it provides a specialised institutional framework for the administration of the Hill Areas. Its principal mechanisms include the Hill Areas Committee, special responsibilities of the Governor and the reporting and directive relationship between the State and Union Governments.

The importance of Article 371C extends beyond its formal constitutional language. It represents an attempt to accommodate regional diversity within a unified constitutional order. Through institutional representation and attention to the developmental needs of the Hill Areas, it seeks to create a balance between integration and regional autonomy.

At the same time, constitutional provisions cannot independently resolve complex questions involving ethnicity, land, political representation and competing claims to affirmative protection. The contemporary debates surrounding Scheduled Tribe status demonstrate the continuing complexity of identity and constitutional safeguards in Manipur. The objective should therefore be to strengthen constitutional institutions while encouraging democratic dialogue among the state's diverse communities.

The future effectiveness of Article 371C will depend on meaningful implementation, institutional accountability, inclusive development and respect for the constitutional rights and aspirations of all communities. Strengthening the Hill Areas Committee, improving participatory governance and ensuring equitable development can help realise the constitutional objective of protecting regional interests while maintaining the unity and integrity of India.

References

Constitution of India. (2026). The Constitution of India. Legislative Department, Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India. □url□Legislative Department – Constitution of India□<https://www.legislative.gov.in/documents/constitution-of-india>□

Government of Manipur. (1972). The Manipur Legislative Assembly (Hill Areas Committee) Order, 1972. Government of Manipur.

Government of Manipur. (2014). Environmental and social policy and procedures: Manipur. Government of Manipur.

Government of Manipur. (2012). Strategy for development of hill areas. Government of Manipur.

India Code. (1971). The Constitution (Twenty-Seventh Amendment) Act, 1971. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.

India Code. (1971). The North-Eastern Areas (Reorganisation) Act, 1971. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.

Legislative Department, Ministry of Law and Justice. (2026). The Constitution of India, 2026. Government of India.

Source Document

Article 371C in Constitution of India